

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports** 



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbrep

# A novel anti-mouse CXCR1 monoclonal antibody, $Cx_1Mab-8$ , demonstrates nanomolar affinity in flow cytometry

Guanjie Li, Hiroyuki Suzuki <sup>(0)</sup>, Tomohiro Tanaka, Hiroyuki Satofuka, Mika K. Kaneko, Yukinari Kato <sup>\*</sup> <sup>(0)</sup>

Department of Antibody Drug Development, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-1 Seiryo-machi, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8575, Japan

ARTICLEINFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Mouse CXCR1 Monoclonal antibody Peptide immunization Flow cytometry	CXC chemokine receptor 1 (CXCR1) is an important regulator for neutrophil granulocyte activation through binding to the ligand interleukin-8 (IL-8). Upon binding to IL-8, CXCR1 activates downstream signaling, critical for innate and adaptive immune responses. The IL-8-CXCR1 axis also plays an important role in tumor pro- gression, especially in the tumor microenvironment. CXCR1 antagonists or anti-IL-8 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) have been developed and evaluated in clinical trials for inflammatory diseases and tumors. In this study, we developed novel mAbs for mouse CXCR1 (mCXCR1) using the N-terminal peptide immunization. Among the established anti-mCXCR1 mAbs, $Cx_1Mab-8$ (rat IgG <sub>2b</sub> , kappa) recognized mCXCR1-overexpressed Chinese hamster ovary-K1 (CHO/mCXCR1) and mCXCR1-overexpressed LN229 (LN229/mCXCR1) by flow cytometry. The dissociation constant ( $K_D$ ) values of $Cx_1Mab-8$ for CHO/mCXCR1 and LN229/mCXCR1 were determined as $4.1 \times 10^{-10}$ M and $1.5 \times 10^{-9}$ M, respectively. These results indicated that $Cx_1Mab-8$ is useful for detecting mCXCR1 by flow cytometry with high affinity and could contribute to obtaining the proof of concept in pre- clinical studies.

### 1. Introduction

CXC chemokine receptor 1 (CXCR1) is a G protein-coupled receptor that plays an essential regulatory role in migration and activation of neutrophil granulocytes [1]. CXCR1 serves as a receptor for interleukin-8 (IL-8, also known as C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 8), a central mediator of immune and inflammatory responses involved in many disorders including cancer [2]. Upon binding to IL-8, CXCR1 triggers a rapid and transient increment of free calcium in neutrophil granulocytes through a GTP-binding protein [2], which results in the migration to the sites of tissue damage or infection [3]. The attracted neutrophil granulocytes kill and phagocytose bacteria at the sites of inflammation. Furthermore, the IL-8-CXCR1 axis plays an essential role in the tumor microenvironment to promote inflammation and resistance to immunotherapy [4]. Therefore, the blockade of the IL-8-CXCR1 axis is a promising strategy to improve antitumor efficacy in combination with other immunotherapies [5]. The activation of CXCR1 involves both N-terminal residues and extracellular loops [3,6]. The structure of human CXCR1 in a lipid bilayer was solved using nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy, which facilitated molecular modeling and the understanding of interactions with small molecule inhibitors [7]. The structure of CXCR1 complexed with IL-8 and G $\alpha$ 1 protein was solved using cryo-EM [8]. The CXCR1 N-terminal residues fit loosely into an IL-8 groove to form the interaction surface chemokine recognition site 1 (CRS1) [8]. Therefore, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that recognize the CXCR1 N-terminus are expected to neutralize the IL-8 binding.

We have developed anti-mouse chemokine receptor mAbs against CXCR1 (clone  $Cx_1Mab-1$ ) [9], CXCR3 (clone  $Cx_3Mab-4$ ) [10], CXCR4 (clone  $Cx_4Mab-1$ ) [11], CCR1 (clone  $C_1Mab-6$ ) [12], CCR3 (clones  $C_3Mab-2$ ,  $C_3Mab-3$ , and  $C_3Mab-4$ ) [13–15], CCR5 (clone  $C_5Mab-2$ ) [16], CCR8 (clones  $C_8Mab-1$ ,  $C_8Mab-2$ , and  $C_8Mab-3$ ) [17–19], using the Cell-Based Immunization and Screening (CBIS) method. The CBIS method includes immunizing antigen-overexpressed cells and

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2025.101965

Received 28 October 2024; Received in revised form 6 January 2025; Accepted 26 February 2025 Available online 1 March 2025

2405-5808/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abbreviations: IL-8, interleukin-8; mAb, monoclonal antibody; CRS, chemokine recognition site; BSA, bovine serum albumin; CBIS, Cell-Based Immunization and Screening; CHO–K1, Chinese hamster ovary-K1; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; FBS, fetal bovine serum; KLH, keyhole limpet hemocyanin; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: yukinari.kato.e6@tohoku.ac.jp (Y. Kato).

### A. Immunization of mCXCR1 N-terminal peptide and production of hybridomas



### B. Screening of supernatants by ELISA and flow cytometry



**Fig. 1.** A schematic procedure of anti-mCXCR1 mAbs production. (**A**) mCXCR1 N-terminal peptide conjugated with KLH (mCXCR1-KLH) was immunized into a Sprague–Dawley rat. The spleen cells were fused with P3U1 cells. (**B**) To select anti-mCXCR1 mAb-producing hybridomas, the supernatants were screened by ELISA and flow cytometry using CHO–K1 and CHO/mCXCR1 cells. (**C**) After limiting dilution, an anti-mCXCR1 mAb,  $Cx_1$ Mab-8, was finally established. ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

high-throughput hybridoma screening using flow cytometry. Furthermore, we established anti-murine chemokine receptor mAbs against CCR2 (clone  $C_2Mab-6$ ) [20], CCR3 (clones  $C_3Mab-6$  and  $C_3Mab-7$ ) [21], CCR4 (clone  $C_4Mab-1$ ) [22], CCR5 (clones  $C_5Mab-4$  and  $C_5Mab-8$ ) [23], CCR9 (clone  $C_9Mab-24$ ) [24], CXCR6 (clone  $Cx_6Mab-1$ ) [25], and ACKR4 (clones A<sub>4</sub>Mab-1, A<sub>4</sub>Mab-2, and A<sub>4</sub>Mab-3) [26] using the N-terminal peptide immunization.

In this study, a high-affinity anti-mouse CXCR1 (mCXCR1) mAb was developed by N-terminal peptide immunization.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Cell lines and plasmids

LN229, Chinese hamster ovary (CHO)–K1, and P3X63Ag8U.1 (P3U1) cell lines were sourced from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA).

A pCMV6neo-myc-DDK plasmid carrying mCXCR1 (Accession No.: NM\_178241) was obtained from OriGene Technologies, Inc. (Rockville, MD).

CHO-K1, mCXCR1-overexpressed CHO-K1 (CHO/mCXCR1), and

P3U1 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan). LN229 and mCXCR1-overexpressed LN229 (LN229/mCXCR1) were cultured in DMEM (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). The media were supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin, and 0.25  $\mu$ g/mL amphotericin B (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). All cell cultures were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% air.

### 2.2. Peptides

A partial sequence of the N-terminal extracellular domain of mCXCR1 (1-MAEAEYFIWTNPEGDFEKE-19) with an additional C-terminal cysteine was synthesized by Eurofins Genomics KK (Tokyo, Japan). The peptide was conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) at its C-terminus.

### 2.3. Production of hybridomas

A five-week-old Sprague–Dawley rat was sourced from CLEA Japan (Tokyo, Japan). The experimental procedures received approval from the Animal Care and Use Committee of Tohoku University (Permit No.:



Fig. 2. Flow cytometric analysis of Cx1Mab-8 against CHO/mCXCR1 and CHO–K1. CHO/mCXCR1 (A) and CHO–K1 cells (B) were treated with 0.01–10 µg/mL of Cx1Mab-8, followed by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG. Fluorescence data were subsequently collected using the SA3800 Cell Analyzer.

2022MdA-001) and adhered to the NIH (National Research Council) Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

The rat was immunized intraperitoneally with 100  $\mu$ g of KLHconjugated mCXCR1 peptide (mCXCR1-KLH) combined with 2% Alhydrogel adjuvant (InvivoGen). The immunization regimen consisted of three additional weekly doses (100  $\mu$ g per rat) and a final booster dose (100  $\mu$ g per rat) administered two days before the collection of spleen cells. Spleen cells were harvested and fused with P3U1 cells using PEG1500 (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). The supernatants were screened using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with the mCXCR1 peptide, followed by flow cytometry analysis with CHO/ mCXCR1 and CHO–K1 cells.

### 2.4. Antibodies

Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG and peroxidase-conjugated anti-rat IgG were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA) and Sigma-Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis, MO), respectively.

### 2.5. ELISA

The mCXCR1 peptide (MAEAEYFIWTNPEGDFEKEC) was immobilized onto Nunc Maxisorp 96-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The wells were blocked with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). Following this, the plates were incubated with supernatants from hybridoma cultures, followed by peroxidaseconjugated anti-rat IgG at a dilution of 1:20,000. The enzymatic reactions were subsequently carried out using the ELISA POD Substrate TMB Kit (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.).

### 2.6. Flow cytometric analysis

Cells were collected following brief treatment with 0.25% trypsin and 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA; Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). Afterward, the cells were rinsed with a blocking buffer of 0.1% BSA in PBS and incubated with varying concentrations (0.01, 0.1, 1, and 10  $\mu$ g/mL) of Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 for 30 min at 4 °C. Subsequently, the cells were exposed to Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG diluted to 1:2,000. Fluorescence measurements were then obtained using the SA3800 Cell Analyzer (Sony Corp.).

### 2.7. Determination of dissociation constant (K<sub>D</sub>) by flow cytometry

CHO/mCXCR1 cells were incubated in a series of diluted solutions of Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 for 30 min at a temperature of 4 °C. Following this, the cells were treated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG at a dilution of 1:200. Fluorescence measurements were then obtained using the SA3800 Cell Analyzer. The  $K_D$  was determined by GraphPad PRISM 6 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).

### 3. Results

# 3.1. Development of Anti-mCXCR1 mAbs using the immunization of N-terminal peptide

To generate anti-mCXCR1 mAbs, a rat was immunized with mCXCR1-KLH (Fig. 1A). Following immunization, the spleen was excised from the rat, and the splenocytes were fused with myeloma P3U1 cells. The resulting hybridomas were then plated into ten 96-well



**Fluorescence intensity** 

Fig. 3. Flow cytometric analysis of  $Cx_1Mab$ -8 against LN229/mCXCR1 and LN229. LN229/mCXCR1 (A) and LN229 cells (B) were treated with 0.01–10  $\mu$ g/mL of  $Cx_1Mab$ -8, followed by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG. Fluorescence data were subsequently collected using the SA3800 Cell Analyzer.

plates and cultured for six days. Subsequently, positive wells to the mCXCR1 peptide were identified using ELISA, followed by the selection of supernatants that were reactive to CHO/mCXCR1 and non-reactive to CHO–K1 via flow cytometry (Fig. 1B). The ELISA screening revealed that 102 out of 958 wells (10.6%) exhibited a strong reaction with the mCXCR1 peptide. Flow cytometric analysis further identified 8 out of these 102 wells (7.8%) that demonstrated strong signals with CHO/mCXCR1 cells but not with CHO–K1 cells. After conducting limiting dilution and several additional screenings,  $Cx_1Mab-8$  (rat  $IgG_{2b}$ , kappa) was successfully established (Fig. 1C).

### 3.2. Flow cytometry using $Cx_1Mab-8$

We conducted flow cytometry using Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 against CHO/mCXCR1 and CHO–K1 cells. Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 dose-dependently recognized CHO/mCXCR1 cells at 10, 1, 0.1, and 0.01  $\mu$ g/mL (Fig. 2A). Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 did not recognize parental CHO–K1 cells even at 10  $\mu$ g/mL (Fig. 2B). The similar reactivity of Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 was also observed in LN229/mCXCR1 cells (Fig. 3).

### 3.3. The binding affinity of $Cx_1Mab-8$

We conducted flow cytometry to determine the  $K_D$  values of Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 against CHO/mCXCR1 and LN229/mCXCR1. The average  $K_D$  values of Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 for CHO/mCXCR1 and LN229/mCXCR1 from two independent measurements (Fig. S1) were determined as  $4.1 \times 10^{-10}$  M and  $1.5 \times 10^{-9}$  M, respectively (Fig. 4).

We cloned the cDNA of Cx1Mab-8 variable regions and showed amino acid sequences of complementarity-determining regions (Fig. 5).

### 4. Discussion

In this study, we developed a novel anti-mCXCR1 mAb, Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8, using the N-terminal peptide immunization and showed the usefulness of flow cytometry (Figs. 2 and 3) to detect mCXCR1. Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 possess superior affinities:  $4.1 \times 10^{-10}$  M (CHO/mCXCR1) and  $1.5 \times 10^{-9}$  M (LN229/mCXCR1), respectively (Fig. 4) compared to that of a previously established anti-mCXCR1 mAb, Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-1:  $2.6 \times 10^{-9}$  M (CHO/mCXCR1) and  $2.1 \times 10^{-8}$  M (LN229/mCXCR1) [9].

As described in the result section, less than 10% of ELISA-positive supernatants recognized CHO/mCXCR1 in flow cytometry. One possible explanation is a disulfide bond connecting the CXCR1 N-terminus (Cys30 in humans) to the extracellular start of transmembrane 7 (Cys277) [7,8]. The Cys pair is highly conserved in the chemokine receptors and is essential for ligand binding. Furthermore, it plays a critical role in shaping the extracellular structure of the chemokine receptors and provides a restriction for the structure formation. Therefore, determining the Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 epitope is essential to understand the recognition of mCXCR1. We previously identified the Cx<sub>6</sub>Mab-1 epitope using  $1 \times$  and  $2 \times$  alanine scanning methods [27]. Future studies should focus on determining the epitope of Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8.

The N-terminus of chemokine receptors plays an essential role in chemokine specificity. Structural studies have shown that the receptor N-terminus binds to the chemokine core at an interface of CRS1. In contrast, the chemokine N-terminus fits within a pocket of the receptor's TM helical domain (called CRS2) [28,29]. HuMax-IL8 (BMS-986253) is a fully human monoclonal antibody against IL-8. HuMax-IL8 inhibits tumor progression by suppressing IL-8-mediated epithelial-mesenchymal transition, immune escape, and recruitment of myeloid-derived suppressor cells [30]. A clinical trial is currently



**Fig. 4.** The determination of the binding affinity of Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8. CHO/mCXCR1 (A) or LN229/mCXCR1 (B) cells were suspended in 100  $\mu$ L serially diluted Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8. Then, cells were treated with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rat IgG. Fluorescence data were subsequently collected using a SA3800 Cell Analyzer, following the calculation of the dissociation constant ( $K_D$ ) by GraphPad PRISM 6. The average  $K_D$  values of Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 for CHO/mCXCR1 and LN229/mCXCR1 were determined from two independent measurements.

### CDR H1: AAWMH CDR H2: RIKGKSNNYAADYVESVKG CDR H3: GND

## CDR L1: KSSQSLLHSRSQKNYVA CDR L2: WASTRHS CDR L3: QQYYDIPFT

Fig. 5. Amino acid sequence of complementarity-determining regions of  $Cx_1Mab$ -8. The cDNA of  $Cx_1Mab$ -8 variable regions was cloned, and the amino acid sequences of complementarity-determining regions were determined.

underway in hormone-sensitive prostate cancer, examining its combination with nivolumab in patients with rising prostate-specific antigen [31]. Although CXCR1 antagonists such as navarixin and reparixin have been developed for asthma, pneumonia, and solid tumors [5], mAb therapy using anti-CXCR1 has not been explored. Further studies are needed to investigate the neutralizing activity of Cx<sub>1</sub>Mab-8 against murine IL-8 orthologues, including KC, MIP-2, and LIX [32]. Since we successfully identified the complementarity-determining regions of  $Cx_1Mab-8$  (Fig. 5), class-switched mAbs of  $Cx_1Mab-8$  to mouse immunoglobulins could facilitate preclinical studies for inhibiting mCXCR1 or depletion of mCXCR1-positive cells in mouse models.

### CRediT authorship contribution statement

**Guanjie Li:** Investigation. **Hiroyuki Suzuki:** Writing – original draft, Investigation, Funding acquisition. **Tomohiro Tanaka:** Investigation, Funding acquisition. **Hiroyuki Satofuka:** Investigation, Funding acquisition. **Mika K. Kaneko:** Investigation. **Yukinari Kato:** Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

### Author disclosure statement

The authors have no conflict of interest.

### **Funding information**

This research was supported in part by Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) under Grant Numbers: JP24am0521010 (to Y.K.), JP24ama121008 (to Y.K.), JP24ama221339 (to Y.K.), JP24bm1123027 (to Y.K.), and JP24ck0106730 (to Y.K.), and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) grant nos. 22K06995 (to H.Suzuki), 24K18268 (to T.T.), 24K11652 (to H.Satofuka), and 22K07224 (to Y.K.).

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Yukinari Kato reports financial support was provided by Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development. Hiroyuki Suzuki reports financial support was provided by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. Tomohiro Tanaka reports financial support was provided by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2025.101965.

#### References

- R.C. Russo, C.C. Garcia, M.M. Teixeira, F.A. Amaral, The CXCL8/IL-8 chemokine family and its receptors in inflammatory diseases, Expet Rev. Clin. Immunol. 10 (2014) 593–619, https://doi.org/10.1586/1744666x.2014.894886.
- [2] M. Thelen, P. Peveri, P. Kernen, V. von Tscharner, A. Walz, M. Baggiolini, Mechanism of neutrophil activation by NAF, a novel monocyte-derived peptide agonist, FASEB J. 2 (1988) 2702–2706.
- [3] F. Sallusto, M. Baggiolini, Chemokines and leukocyte traffic, Nat. Immunol. 9 (2008) 949–952, https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.f.214.
- [4] H. Ha, B. Debnath, N. Neamati, Role of the CXCL8-CXCR1/2 Axis in cancer and inflammatory diseases, Theranostics 7 (2017) 1543–1588, https://doi.org/ 10.7150/thno.15625.
- [5] S. McClelland, P.J. Maxwell, C. Branco, S.T. Barry, C. Eberlein, M.J. LaBonte, Targeting IL-8 and its receptors in prostate cancer: inflammation, stress response, and treatment resistance, Cancers 16 (2024), https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers16162797.
- [6] L. Rajagopalan, K. Rajarathnam, Ligand selectivity and affinity of chemokine receptor CXCR1. Role of N-terminal domain, J. Biol. Chem. 279 (2004) 30000–30008, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M313883200.
- [7] S.H. Park, B.B. Das, F. Casagrande, Y. Tian, H.J. Nothnagel, M. Chu, H. Kiefer, K. Maier, A.A. De Angelis, F.M. Marassi, S.J. Opella, Structure of the chemokine receptor CXCR1 in phospholipid bilayers, Nature 491 (2012) 779–783, https://doi. org/10.1038/nature11580.

G. Li et al.

- [8] N. Ishimoto, J.H. Park, K. Kawakami, M. Tajiri, K. Mizutani, S. Akashi, J.R. H. Tame, A. Inoue, S.Y. Park, Structural basis of CXC chemokine receptor 1 ligand binding and activation, Nat. Commun. 14 (2023) 4107, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-023-39799-2.
- [9] G. Li, T. Tanaka, T. Ouchida, M.K. Kaneko, H. Suzuki, Y. Kato, Cx(1)Mab-1: a novel anti-mouse CXCR1 monoclonal antibody for flow cytometry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 43 (2024) 59–66, https://doi.org/10.1089/ mab.2023.0031.
- [10] T. Ouchida, Y. Isoda, T. Tanaka, M.K. Kaneko, H. Suzuki, Y. Kato, Cx(3)Mab-4: a novel anti-mouse CXCR3 monoclonal antibody for flow cytometry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. (2024), https://doi.org/10.1089/ mab.2023.0024.
- [11] T. Ouchida, H. Suzuki, T. Tanaka, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Cx(4)Mab-1: a novel antimouse CXCR4 monoclonal antibody for flow cytometry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. (2023), https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2023.0023.
- [12] T. Ouchida, Y. Isoda, T. Nakamura, M. Yanaka, T. Tanaka, S. Handa, M.K. Kaneko, H. Suzuki, Y. Kato, Establishment of a novel anti-mouse CCR1 monoclonal antibody C(1)Mab-6, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. (2024), https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2023.0032.
- [13] N. Tateyama, T. Asano, H. Suzuki, G. Li, T. Yoshikawa, T. Tanaka, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Epitope mapping of anti-mouse CCR3 monoclonal antibodies using flow cytometry, Antibodies 11 (2022), https://doi.org/10.3390/antib11040075.
- [14] M. Saito, Y. Harigae, G. Li, T. Asano, T. Tanaka, H. Suzuki, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, C (3)Mab-2: an anti-mouse CCR3 monoclonal antibody for immunocytochemistry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 45–49, https://doi. org/10.1089/mab.2021.0050.
- [15] T. Asano, H. Suzuki, T. Tanaka, M. Saito, G. Li, N. Goto, R. Nanamiya, M. K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, C(3)Mab-3: a monoclonal antibody for mouse CC chemokine receptor 3 for flow cytometry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 74–79, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2021.0062.
- [16] H. Suzuki, T. Tanaka, G. Li, T. Ouchida, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Development of a sensitive anti-mouse CCR5 monoclonal antibody for flow cytometry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 43 (2024) 96–100, https://doi.org/ 10.1089/mab.2024.0004.
- [17] H. Suzuki, M. Saito, T. Asano, T. Tanaka, K. Kitamura, Y. Kudo, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, C(8)Mab-3: an anti-mouse CCR8 monoclonal antibody for immunocytochemistry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 110–114, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2022.0002.
- [18] M. Saito, T. Tanaka, T. Asano, T. Nakamura, M. Yanaka, S. Handa, Y. Komatsu, Y. Harigae, N. Tateyama, R. Nanamiya, G. Li, H. Suzuki, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, C(8) Mab-2: an anti-mouse C-C motif chemokine receptor 8 monoclonal antibody for immunocytochemistry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 115–119, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2021.0045.
- [19] M. Saito, H. Suzuki, T. Tanaka, T. Asano, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Development of an anti-mouse CCR8 monoclonal antibody (C(8)Mab-1) for flow cytometry and immunocytochemistry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 333–338, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2021.0069.
- [20] T. Tanaka, G. Li, T. Asano, M. Saito, M.K. Kaneko, H. Suzuki, Y. Kato, Development of a novel anti-mouse CCR2 monoclonal antibody (C(2)Mab-6) by N-terminal peptide immunization, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 80–86, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2021.0063.
- [21] T. Asano, H. Suzuki, N. Goto, T. Tanaka, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Establishment of novel anti-mouse CCR3 monoclonal antibodies (C(3)Mab-6 and C(3)Mab-7) by N-

terminal peptide immunization, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 94–100, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2021.0065.

- [22] J. Takei, H. Suzuki, T. Asano, T. Tanaka, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Development of a novel anti-mouse CCR4 monoclonal antibody (C(4)Mab-1) by N-terminal peptide immunization, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 87–93, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2021.0064.
- [23] R. Ubukata, H. Suzuki, T. Tanaka, G. Li, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Development of sensitive anti-mouse CCR5 monoclonal antibodies using the N-terminal peptide immunization, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 43 (2024) 112–118, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2024.0009.
- [24] H. Kobayashi, T. Asano, H. Suzuki, T. Tanaka, T. Yoshikawa, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Establishment of a sensitive monoclonal antibody against mouse CCR9 (C(9)Mab-24) for flow cytometry, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 42 (2023) 15–21, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2022.0032.
- [25] K. Kitamura, H. Suzuki, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Cx(6)Mab-1: a novel anti-mouse CXCR6 monoclonal antibody established by N-terminal peptide immunization, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 133–141, https:// doi.org/10.1089/mab.2022.0010.
- [26] M. Hirose, H. Suzuki, R. Ubukata, T. Tanaka, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Development of specific anti-mouse atypical chemokine receptor 4 monoclonal antibodies, Biochem Biophys Rep 40 (2024) 101824, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbrep.2024.101824.
- [27] Y. Isoda, T. Tanaka, H. Suzuki, T. Asano, T. Nakamura, M. Yanaka, S. Handa, Y. Komatsu, S. Okuno, N. Takahashi, Y. Okada, H. Kobayashi, G. Li, R. Nanamiya, N. Goto, N. Tateyama, T. Yoshikawa, M.K. Kaneko, Y. Kato, Epitope mapping of an anti-mouse CXCR6 monoclonal antibody (Cx(6)Mab-1) using the 2 × alanine scanning method, Monoclon. Antibodies Immunodiagn. Immunother. 41 (2022) 275–278, https://doi.org/10.1089/mab.2022.0019.
- [28] G.N. Prado, K. Suetomi, D. Shumate, C. Maxwell, A. Ravindran, K. Rajarathnam, J. Navarro, Chemokine signaling specificity: essential role for the N-terminal domain of chemokine receptors, Biochemistry 46 (2007) 8961–8968, https://doi. org/10.1021/bi7004043.
- [29] J. Catusse, A. Liotard, B. Loillier, D. Pruneau, J.L. Paquet, Characterization of the molecular interactions of interleukin-8 (CXCL8), growth related oncogen alpha (CXCL1) and a non-peptide antagonist (SB 225002) with the human CXCR2, Biochem. Pharmacol. 65 (2003) 813–821, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-2952 (02)01619-2.
- [30] C. Dominguez, K.K. McCampbell, J.M. David, C. Palena, Neutralization of IL-8 decreases tumor PMN-MDSCs and reduces mesenchymalization of claudin-low triple-negative breast cancer, JCI Insight 2 (2017), https://doi.org/10.1172/jci. insight.94296.
- [31] M. Bilusic, C.R. Heery, J.M. Collins, R.N. Donahue, C. Palena, R.A. Madan, F. Karzai, J.L. Marté, J. Strauss, M.E. Gatti-Mays, J. Schlom, J.L. Gulley, Phase I trial of HuMax-IL8 (BMS-986253), an anti-IL-8 monoclonal antibody, in patients with metastatic or unresectable solid tumors, J Immunother Cancer 7 (2019) 240, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0706-x.
- [32] L.E. Rovai, H.R. Herschman, J.B. Smith, The murine neutrophil-chemoattractant chemokines LIX, KC, and MIP-2 have distinct induction kinetics, tissue distributions, and tissue-specific sensitivities to glucocorticoid regulation in endotoxemia, J. Leukoc. Biol. 64 (1998) 494–502, https://doi.org/10.1002/ jlb.64.4.494.